

KONERU LAKSHMAIAH EDUCATION FOUNDATION (Deemed to be University Estd. U/S 3 of the UGC Act 1956) Vaddeswaram, Guntur, Andhra Pradesh, India - 522 302

141.00

STAKEHOLDERS FEEDBACK ANALYSIS REPORT 2023-24



Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Category -1, Deemed to be University estd. u/s. 3 of the UGC Act, 1956)

Accredited by NAAC as 'A++' & Approved by AICTE & ISO 21001:2018 Certified Campus: Green Fields, Vaddeswaram - 522 302, Guntur District, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA. Phone No. +91 8645 - 350 200; www.klef.ac.in; www.klef.edu.in; www.kluniversity.in Admin Off: 29-36-38, Museum Road, Governorpet, Vijayawada - 520 002. Ph: +91 - 866 - 3500122, 2576129

Department of Chemistry

Feedback Analysis Report

A.Y. 2023-24

Table of Contents

S. No	Name of the Stakeholder Analysis	Page No.
1	Student Feedback Analysis	2
2	Faculty Feedback Analysis	12
3	Parent Feedback Analysis	18
4	Academic Peers Feedback Analysis	24
5	Alumni Feedback Analysis	33
6	Industry / Employer Feedback Analysis	39

Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (K L Deemed to be University)

Student Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Report Academic Year: 2023-2024 Semester - ODD/EVEN

PART - A

Q.No.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total
1	9	0	0	0	0	9
2	8	1	0	0	0	9
3	9	0	0	0	0	9
4	9	0	0	0	0	9
5	9	0	0	0	0	9
6	9	0	0	0	0	9
7	9	0	0	0	0	9
8	9	0	0	0	0	9
9	8	1	0	0	0	9
10	9	0	0	0	0	9
11	9	0	0	0	0	9
12	9	0	0	0	0	9
13	9	0	0	0	0	9
14	9	0	0	0	0	9
15	9	0	0	0	0	9
16	9	0	0	0	0	9
17	9	0	0	0	0	9
18	9	0	0	0	0	9
19	9	0	0	0	0	9
20	9	0	0	0	0	9
AVERAGE	8.9	0.1	0	0	0	9
		Fee	back Average Out of 5 S	cale	4.99	

PART - B

Questions and Options

		Each Quest	tion Feedback Ave	rage out of 5 Sca	le					
Q.NO.1	How do you rate the se	quence of the Courses that yo	u have studied are in sequence to	o what you have studied in the	previous semester?	5				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor					
Q.NO.2	How do you rate the syl	labus of the courses that you	have studied in relation to the co	mpetencies expected out of th	ne course?	1 90				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.05				
Q.NO.3	How do you rate the rel	evance of the units in Syllabu:	s relevant to the course?	•		-				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor					
Q.NO.4	How do you rate the se	quence of the units in the cou	rse?			-				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor					
Q.NO.5	How do you rate the all	ocation of the credits to the o	purses?			_				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
Q.NO.6	How do you rate the dis	v do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)?								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0 NO 7	How do you rate the off	ering of the electives in terms	of their relevance to the speciali	zation streams?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0 NO 8	How do you rate the ele	ectives offered in relation to th	e Technological advancements?	1						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
Q.No.9	How do you rate the rel	wido you rate the relevance of the Tayt Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses?								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.89				
0 NO 10	Rate the Size of syllabus	in terms of the load on the st	sudent							
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0.NO.11	Rate the courses in terr	ns of extra learning or self lear	ming considering the design of the	e courses		-				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0 NO 12	Pate the courses in terr	er of convonce of offering cor	videring whether the preceding of	courses have been severed						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Ven/ Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0 NO 12	Llaw da way Data tha la	dias of the second is a second		Archige	1001	-				
OPTIONS	Fycellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	- 5				
0.10.14	Lieu de unu ente the eu	Very Good	cood	Archige	1001					
Q.IVO.14	Fixedlant	Von Good	Good	Auorago	Poor	5				
OF HONS	Licenetic the ele	very doou	3000	Average	FOOI					
Q.IVU.15	First and you rate the op	Von Good	Good	Auorago	Poor	5				
OPTIONS	Excellent	very dood	3000	Average	FOOI					
Q.NO.16	How do you rate compe	tencies expected out of the c	ourse?			5				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	_				
Q.NO.17	How do you rate the co	mposition of the courses in te	rms of Basic science, Engineering	science, Humanities, Disciplin	e core, discipline elective, open	5				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor								
Q.NO.18	How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?									
OPTIONS	Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor									
Q.NO.19	How do you rate the do	How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components?								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Poor				
Q.NO.20	How do you rate the ex	periments in relation to the re	al life Applications?			5				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor					

PART - C

Q.NO.4

OPTIONS

RESULT

А

Excellent

Question Wise Analysis

Q.NO.1	How do you r	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester?						
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Students		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor			
PESINT	9	0	0	0	0	9		
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		







Q.NO.2	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?					
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Chudonte
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students
RESULT	8	1	0	0	0	9
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total







Q.NO.3		How do you rate the relevance of the units in Syllabus relevant to the course?					
OPTIONS H	A	В	с	D	E	Charleste	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	students	
DECLINT	9	0	0	0	0	9	
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	

Good





Poor

B: Options Wise Student Count %

/e6jo6do@aior 0% @%

Fair



C: Options Wise Student Count % (With Respect to Option Marks)

D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B% Series1

A: Options Wise Student Count Series1

Very Good



100

Excellent -5 Very Good-4 Good-3

Q.NO.5	How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Studente	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	students	
DESTIT	9	0	0	0	0	9	
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	



Q.NO.6		How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)?						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Chudonte		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	students		
RESULT	9	0	0	0	0	9		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		





C: Options Wise Student Count %

(With Respect to Option Marks)

Series1

0

Fair-2

Poor-1













Q.NO.8 How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements Α В D OPTIONS Students Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 9 0 0 0 9 RESULT Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor Total





C: Options Wise Student Count % (With Respect to Option Marks)

Poor-1

C: Options Wise Student Count %

(With Respect to Option Marks)

D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B%

Series1







Q.No.9	How	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses?					
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Studente	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students	
PESIIIT	8	1	0	0	0	9	
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	









Q.NO.10	Rate the Size of syllabus in terms of the load on the student						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Studente	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	students	
RESULT	9	0	0	0	0	9	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	











C: Options Wise Student Count %









A: Options Wise Student Count



C: Options Wise Student Count % (With Respect to Option Marks) D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B%

100













C: Options Wise Student Count %



Q.NO.14	How do you rate the evaluation scheme designed for each of the course?						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Churchenster	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students	
RESULT	9	0	0	0	0	9	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	















Q.NO.16		How do you rate competencies expected out of the course?						
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Churchenster		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students		
RESULT	9	0	0	0	0	9		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		

A: Options Wise Student Count

B: Options Wise Student Count %

C: Options Wise Student Count % (With Respect to Option Marks) D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B% ■ Series1







Q.NO.17	you rate the composit	rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, proje									
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Students					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students					
DESTIT	9	0	0	0	0	9					
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					









Q.NO.18		How do you rate the percentage of courses having LAB components?									
OBTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Churchenter					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	students					
DECLIPT	9	0	0	0	0	9					
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					









Q.NO.19		How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments for the LAB components?									
OBTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Studente					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Students					
DECLIPT	9	0	0	0	0	9					
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					















C: Options Wise Student Count % (With Respect to Option Marks) D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B%



PART - D

Student Feedback on Curriculum Overall Analysis











₩ 80 ↓ ₩ 60 0 3 20 0 40 20																				
Sa S	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20
Total	100	97.8	100	100	100	100	100	100	97.8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
BEST= [Excellent-5]+[Very Good-4]	100	97.8	100	100	100	100	100	100	97.8	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
AVERAGE= Good-3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PART - E

Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most	Positiv	ve Response Feedback Questions												
SI.No.	Q.No.		Question											
P 1	4	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the cou	irse?											
P 2	6	How do you rate the distribution of the contact hour	s among the course components (L-T-P)?											
P_3	11	Rate the courses in terms of extra learning or self lea	rning considering the design of the courses											
Most	Negat	ive Response Feedback Questions												
SI.No.	Q.No		Question											
N_1	2	w do you rate the syllabus of the courses that you have studied in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?												
N_2	9	How do you rate the relevance of the Text Books and reference books by their International recognition to the Courses?												
Actio	n Take	n on Negative Response Feedback Questions												
SI.No	Q.No	. Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date							
N_1	2	Student requested to include few topics in the courses which are in relevance of CSIR and GATE. Recommended to include topics in accordance with the CSIR and GATE topics and make the students prepared. The same 11 28-03-2024 8 01-04-2024												
N_2	9	Students suggested to provide international publisher books as part of their courses.	suggested to provide international Recommended to purchase the books in the dept library of books as part of their courses. It remational publishers related to the courses. It remational publishers related to the courses.											

Any c	other Recommendations / Suggestion(s) giv	en by Student - Analysis and Action Taken b	by HOD				
SI.No	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date
1							

S.

A. A. Venkateswara Re. Head of the Department Department of Chemistry oneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University) Freen Fleids, Vaddeswaram-522 302, Guntur Dist., A.P., India.



PART - A



PART - B

Questions and Options

		Each Questie	on Feedback Avera	ge out of 5 Scal	e	
Q.NO.1	Aims and objectives	of the syllabi are well de	fined and clear to teachers a	ind students.		4.9
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.5
Q.NO.2	The curriculum prov	ides opportunity for the	conducting research and pro	ject related activities.		4.9
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.5
Q.NO.3	The depth of the cou	urse content is adequate	to have significant learning	outcomes.		4.9
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.0
Q.NO.4	The opinion of the fa	culty members are take	n in to account during curric	ulum revision.		
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	5
Q.NO.5	The learning outcom	es of the curriculum are	of local, national and global	standard.		
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.7
Q.NO.6	The pre-requisite co	urses are appropriate fo	r this course.			
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.3
Q.NO.7	The students attain	the POs, COs and PSOs s	atisfactorily.			
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.9
Q.NO.8	The curriculum has t	he potential in developi	ng the habit of self learning a	mong the students.		
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.7
Q.No.9	The course/syllabus	has a good balance betw	veen theory and Laboratory-	work.		
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	4.7
Q.NO.10	Evaluation of the co	urse is appropriate to dis	scriminate the students.			
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	5

PART - C

Question Wise Analysis







Q.NO.2		The curriculum prov	ides opportunity for the conduc	ting research and project r	elated activities.	
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumaini

OF HOIRS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alamin
PESIIIT	9	1	0	0	0	10
RESOLT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total





Q.NO.3		The depth of the course content is adequate to have significant learning outcomes.								
OBTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Alternation				
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alumini				
PESIIIT	8	2	0	0	0	10				
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total				







Q.NO.4		The opinion of the faculty members are taken in to account during curriculum revision.									
ODTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumini					
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alumini					
PESUIT	10	0	0	0	0	10					
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					









Q.NO.5		The learning outcomes of the curriculum are of local, national and global standard.								
OBTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Alizzation				
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alumini				
PESIIIT	7	3	0	0	0	10				
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total				







Q.NO.6		The pre-requisite courses are appropriate for this course.							
ODTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alternation			
OPTIONS	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Aiumini			
PECIIIT	5	3	2	0	0	10			
RESULI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total			







Q.NO.7		The students attain the POs, COs and PSOs satisfactorily.									
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumini					
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alumini					
DECLUT	9	1	0	0	0	10					
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					









Q.NO.8		The curriculum has the potential in developing the habit of self learning among the students.								
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumini				
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree					
RESULT	7	3	0	0	0	10				
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total				







Q.No.9		The course/	syllabus has a good balance be	ween theory and Laborato	ry-work.	
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumaini
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Aiumini
RESULT	7	3	0	0	0	10
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total



Evaluation of the course is appropriate to discriminate the students.





OPTIONS	А	В	С	D	E	Alumini
	Strongly Agree	Agree	Not Sure	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Alumini
RESULT	10	0	0	0	0	10
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total



Excellent %

PART - D

Faculty Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Summary









sunods 20										
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q.No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Excellent -5	90	90	80	100	70	50	90	70	70	100
Very Good-4	8	8	16	0	24	24	8	24	24	0
Good-3	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0
Fair-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poor-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	98	98	96	100	94	86	98	94	94	100

					Fig6: Analysis					
120										
원 100										
S 80						_				
* 60										
5 40										
0 40										
6 20										
l les o	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Total	98	98	96	100	94	86	98	94	94	100
BEST=	. 98	98	96	100	94	74	98	94	94	100
[Excellent-5]+[Very Good-	1									
AVERAGE= Good-3	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0
BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PART E Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most Po	sitive Res	sponse Feedback Questions
SI.No.	Q.No.	Question
P_1	4	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the course?
P_2	10	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses that you have studied are in sequence to what you have studied in the previous semester?

Most Ne	egative Re	esponse Feedback Questions
SI.No.	Q.No.	Question
N_1	6	How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)?

Action T	aken on	Negative Response Feedba	ck Questions				
SI.No.	Q.No.	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date
N_1	. 6	The LTPS structre can be modified according to the NEP.	It is proposed to revise the LTPS structure as for the Y24 admitted batch students.	11	28-03-2024	8	01-04-2024

Any oth	er Recommendations / Sug	gestion(s) given by Faculty -	Analysis and Action Taken by HOD				
SI.No.	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date
1							

2

Dr. A. Venkateswara Rac Head of the Department Department of Chemistry Kontru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University) Crean Etolds, Vaddoswaram-522 302 Ward A.C., India.

Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation

(K L Deemed to be University)

Parent Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Report

Academic Year: 2023-2024

Semester - ODD/EVEN

PART - A

Q.No.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total
1	12	3	2	0	0	17
2	10	5	2	0	0	17
3	11	5	1	0	0	17
4	11	5	1	0	0	17
5	11	4	2	0	0	17
6	10	5	2	0	0	17
7	12	4	1	0	0	17
8	10	3	4	0	0	17
9	11	5	1	0	0	17
10	11	5	1	0	0	17
AVERAGE	10.9	4.4	1.7	0	0	17

Feedback Average Out of 5 Scale 4.55

PART - B

Questions and Options

		Each Quest	ion Feedback Aver	age out of 5 Scal	e				
Q.NO.1	How do you rate the	program that your ward is	undergoing in terms of the loa	d of the courses in differen	t semesters?	4.50			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.59			
Q.NO.2	How do you rate the a	availability of the Text and	reference books in the Market	2		4 49			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.40			
Q.NO.3	How do you rate the	quality and relevance of th	e courses included into the ser	mester?		4.50			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.59			
Q.NO.4	How do you rate the t	treatment of the students	by the faculty irrespective of the	e background of the stude	ent that includes Gender, cast,	4 50			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.55			
Q.NO.5	How do you rate amb	low do you rate ambience of the KLEF for effective delivery of the academic programs?							
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.55			
Q.NO.6	How do you rate the	courses in terms of their re	levance to the latest technolog	gies or future technologies	?	4.49			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.40			
Q.NO.7	How do you rate the	programs based on the cor	nfort of your ward in coping wi	th the workload?		4.65			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.00			
Q.NO.8	How do you rate the	quality of teaching in the K	LEF?			4.26			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.50			
Q.No.9	How do you rate the o	How do you rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses							
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.55			
Q.NO.10	How do you rate the t	transparency of the evalua	tion system in the KLEF?			4 50			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.59			

PART - C

Question Wise Analysis

Q.NO.1	How	do you rate the program t	hat your ward is undergoing in t	erms of the load of the co	urses in different semesters?		
ORTIONS	A B		С	D	E	D	
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents	
PESIIIT	12	3	2	0	0	17	
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	





0

BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]

Q.NO.2		How do you	rate the availability of the Text	and reference books in the	e Market?	
OBTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Desents
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents
PESIIIT	10	5	2	0	0	17





Q.NO.3		How do you rate the quality and relevance of the courses included into the semester?										
ORTIONS	A	В	с	D	E							
OF HONS	Excellent	Very Good	ery Good Good		Poor	Parents						
PESINT	11	5	1	0	0	17						
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total						





Q.NO.4	te the treatment of the	reatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching a										
OPTIONS	A B		С	D	E	Description						
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents						
DECLINT	11	5	1	0	0	17						
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total						



Q.NO.5		How do you rate	ambience of the KLEF for effec	tive delivery of the academ	nic programs?		
OPTIONS	A	A B C		D	E	Describe	
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents	
PESIIIT	11	4	2	0	0	17	
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	





	Excellent Very Go	ood Good Fair	Poor Total			
_						
Q.NO.6	5	How do you rate the cou	rses in terms of their relevance	to the latest technologies o	or future technologies?	
OBTION	A	В	С	D	E	Decente
OPTION	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents
DECLIN	. 10	5	2	0	0	17
RESOL	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total









	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?										
A	В	С	D	E	Decente						
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents						
12	4	1	0	0	17						
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total						
	A Excellent 12 Excellent	How do you rate the A B Excellent Very Good 12 4 Excellent Very Good	How do you rate the programs based on the comfor A B C Excellent Very Good Good 12 4 1 Excellent Very Good Good	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with a set of the comfort of your ward in coping with a set of the comfort of your ward in coping with a set of the comfort. A B C D Excellent Very Good Good Average 12 4 1 0 Excellent Very Good Good Fair	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload? A B C D E Excellent Very Good Good Average Poor 12 4 1 0 0 Excellent Very Good Good Fair Poor						









Q.NO.8		How do you rate the quality of teaching in the KLEF?										
OPTIONS	A	A B		D	E							
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents						
PESIIIT	10	3	4	0	0	17						
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total						







Q.No.9		How do you rate the outcomes that your ward has achieved from the courses										
OBTIONS	A B		с	D	E	Desents						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents						
PESIIIT	11	5	1	0	0	17						
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total						







	Excellent V	ery Good Good F	air Poor Tota	1	-				Excellent -5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Fair-2	Poor-1	Total			[Excellent-5]+[very Good-4]	0000-5	[Fail-2]+[POOI-1]
O NO 10		How do yo	ou rate the transparency of th	e evaluation system in the K	IFF?														
Q.NO.10	۵	B	с С	n	F	-													
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Parents													
DECLUIT	11	5	1	0	0	17													
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total													
	A:	Options Wise Par	rent Count	B:	Options Wise Pa	rent Count	%		C: Op (With	tions W Respec	ise Pare t to Op	nt Count	t % 'ks)			D: Fee	dback Analysis	Г-В-А-В%	
	11	5	17		Very Good 29%	Excellent		6	1.8		Series1		92		ŝ	12	88.4	6	

23.6

Excellent -5 Very Good-4 Good-3

3.6

0

Fair-2

Poor-1

Total

0

BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]

BEST= [Excellent-5]+[Very Good-4]

Total

AVERAGE= Good-3

PART - D

1

Good

Excellent Very Good

0

Fair

0

Poor

Total

Parent Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Overall Analysis





UND 50 50 40 30 20 10 50 40 30 20 0										
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Q.No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Excellent -5	70.6	58.9	64.8	64.8	64.8	58.9	70.6	58.9	64.8	64.8
Very Good-4	14.2	23.6	23.6	23.6	18.9	23.6	18.9	14.2	23.6	23.6
Good-3	7.1	7.1	3.6	3.6	7.1	7.1	3.6	14.2	3.6	3.6
Fair-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Poor-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	91.9	89.6	92	92	90.8	89.6	93.1	87.3	92	92

Fig5: Analysis										
100										
sk 80										
≥ /0 * 60										
50 40										
S 30										
10										
Bes	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Total	91.9	89.6	92	92	90.8	89.6	93.1	87.3	92	92
BEST= [Excellent-5]+[Very Good-4]	84.8	82.5	88.4	88.4	83.7	82.5	89.5	73.1	88.4	88.4
AVERAGE= Good-3	7.1	7.1	3.6	3.6	7.1	7.1	3.6	14.2	3.6	3.6
BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PART - E

Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most P	ositive F	Response Feedback Questions
SI.No.	Q.No.	Question
P_1	1	How do you rate the program that your ward is undergoing in terms of the load of the courses in different semesters?
P_2	4	How do you rate the treatment of the students by the faculty irrespective of the background of the student that includes Gender, cast, community creed etc. in teaching and evaluation?
P_3	7	How do you rate the programs based on the comfort of your ward in coping with the workload?

Most Negative Response Feedback Questions

 SI.No.
 Question

 N_1
 8
 How do you rate the quality of teaching in the KLEF?

Action	Taken o	n Negative Response Feedback Questions					
SI.No.	Q.No.	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date
N_1	8						

Any ot	her Recommendations / Suggestion(s) giv	en by Parent - Analysis and	Action Taken by HOD				
SI.No.	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proc	Date
1							

F. A. Vonkateswara Rac Head of the Department Department of Chemistry neru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deamed to be University) why Voldeswaram-522 CD2, Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (K L Deemed to be University)

Academic Peers Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Report Academic Year: 2023-2024

Semester - ODD/EVEN

PART - A



Feedback Average Out of 5 Scale 4.34

PART - B

Questions and Options

		Each Qu	estion Feedback Averag	e out of 5 Scale					
Q.NO.1	How do you rate the	sequence of the Courses pr	rovided in the curriculum?			4.17			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor				
Q.NO.2	How do you rate the	syllabus of the courses in r	elation to the competencies ex	pected out of the course?		4 67			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.07			
Q.NO.3	How do you rate the	structure framed for entire	program?			45			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
Q.NO.4	How do you rate the	sequence of the units in the	e course?			4 67			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.07			
Q.NO.5	How do you rate the	allocation of the credits to	the courses?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4			
Q.NO.6	How do you rate the	distribution of the contact l	hours among the course comp	onents (L-T-P)?					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4			
Q.NO.7	How do you rate the	offering of the electives in	terms of their relevance to the	specialization streams?					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4			
Q.NO.8	How do you rate the	electives offered in relation	to the Technological advance	ements?					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
Q.No.9	How do you rate the	relevance of the Text Book	s and reference books by thei	r International recognition	to the Courses?				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4			
Q.NO.10	How do you rate the	sequence of the Courses th	at you have studied are in seq	uence to what you have s	tudied in the previous semester?	4.94			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.34			
Q.NO.11	Rate the Size of sylla	bus in terms of the load on	the student	, v					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
Q.NO.12	Rate the courses in te	erms of extra learning or se	If learning considering the des	ign of the courses					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Verv Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.1/			
Q.NO.13	Rate the courses in te	rms of sequence of offerin	g considering whether the pre	ceding courses have been	covered				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.34			
Q.NO.14	How do you Rate the	loading of the courses in a	semester?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.34			
Q.NO.15	How do you rate the	evaluation scheme designe	d for each of the course?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
0.NO.16	How do you rate the	objectives stated for each o	f the course?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
0 NO 17	How do you rate con	anatancias avpacted out of a	the cource?	intellage	1 001				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5			
0 NO 18	How do you rate the	composition of the courses	in terms of Basic science. En	aineering science. Humar	vitiae Disciplina cora disciplina				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Vary Good	Good	Avaraga	Poor	4.5			
O NO 19	Hory do you rote the	very 0000	ooou na LAP componente?	Avelage	1301				
OPTIONS	Frow up you rate the	Vary Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.34			
0 NO 20	Hory do you rote the	domain used for designing	the experiments for the LAP.	Avelage	1.001				
OPTIONS	From the second second	Voru Cood	Cood	Average	Boos	4.17			
OF HUNS	EACCHERT	very GOOd	0000	Average	roor				

PART - C

Question Wise Analysis

Q.NO.1		How do you rate the sequence of the Courses provided in the curriculum?							
ORTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Academic			
OF HOINS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers			
DESILIT	4	0	1	1	0	6			
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total			









Q.NO.2	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?						
ORTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic	
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers	
DESILIT	5	0	1	0	0	6	
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	













	Q.NO.4		How do you rate the sequence of the units in the course?						
	OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Academic		
		Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers		
	PESINT	5	0	1	0	0	6		
	REDUEI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		

		(With Re	spec
lent %	66.7	13.4	10
	Excellent -5	Very Good-4	Good





A: Options Wise Academic Peers Count Series1

B: Options Wise Academic Peers Count % Fair Poor 0% 0%

C: Options Wise Academic Peers Count % (With Respect to Option Marks)

D: Feedback Analysis T-B-A-B% Series1 93.4 83.4







Q.NO.5		How do you rate the allocation of the credits to the courses?							
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Academic			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers			
RESULT	1	4	1	0	0	6			
REDUET	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total			









Q.NO.6	How do you rate the distribution of the contact hours among the course components (L-T-P)?						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers	
DECLUIT	1	4	1	0	0	6	
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	



















Q.NO.8		How do you rate the electives offered in relation to the Technological advancements?						
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Academic		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers		
RESULT	4	3	1	0	0	6		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		





C: Options Wise Academic Peers Count % (With Respect to Option Marks)

Series1









Q.No.9	How	do you rate the relevance of	the Text Books and reference I	books by their Internationa	I recognition to the Courses?	
ORTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers
PESIUT	1	4	1	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total









Q.NO.10	How do you r	ate the sequence of the Cou	rses that you have studied are i	n sequence to what you ha	ave studied in the previous semest	er?
	A	В	С	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers
DECIT	2	4	0	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total





























Q.NO.13	R	ate the courses in terms of s	equence of offering considering	whether the preceding co	urses have been covered.	
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Academic Peers 6 Total
DECLIFT	3	2	1	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total



















Q.NO.15		How do y	ou rate the evaluation scheme of	designed for each of the co	urse?	
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers
DESTIT	4	1	1	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total





















Q.NO.17		Ho	w do you rate competencies ex	pected out of the course?		
	A	В	с	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers
DECLIFT	3	3	0	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total



9 options wise Ad	6
Good Fair	Poor
0% 0%	0%
Very Good S0%	Excellent SON

B: Options Wise Academic Peers Count

% Good Fair Poor 0% 0% 0%



Total

Poor-1

Q.NO.18	How do you rate th	How do you rate the composition of the courses in terms of Basic science, Engineering science, Humanities, Discipline core, discipline elective, open elective, project etc.?												
ORTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers								
RESULT	3	3	0	0	0	6								
RESULI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total								

6

Total





Q.NO.19		How do	you rate the percentage of cou	urses having LAB componer	nts?	
ORTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Academic
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Peers
PESINT	3	2	1	0	0	6
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total

Poor



A: Options Wise Academic Peers Count

Series1

n

Fair

3

Excellent

Very Good

Good





Excellent -5 Very Good-4 Good-3

Fair-2













						1
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	

		_	U	U		1	10101	DEST-	AVENAGE-
Excellent -5	Very Good-4	Good-3	Fair-2	Poor-1	Total			Good-4]	6000-3

[Fair-2]+[Poor-1]

PART - D

Academic Peers Feedback on Curriculum Overall Analysis











- L-																					
	BEST= [Excellent-5]+[Very Good-4]	66.7	83.4	80.1	83.4	70.1	70.1	70.1	80.1	70.1	86.8	90	73.4	76.7	76.7	80.1	90	90	90	76.7	73.4
	AVERAGE= Good-3	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	0	0	10	10	10	10	0	0	0	10	10
	BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]	6.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

PART - E

Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most Pc	lost Positive Response Feedback Questions						
Sl.No.	Q.No.	Question					
P_1	2	How do you rate the syllabus of the courses in relation to the competencies expected out of the course?					
P_2	4	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the course?					

Most Negative Response Feedback Questions

	0	in the second desired as	
Sl.No.	Q.No.		Question
N_1	1	How do you rate the sequence of the Courses provided in the curriculum?	••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Action Taken on Negative Response Feedback Questions

Sl.No.	Q.No.	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date
N_1	1	Dr Narayana Bhat, Professor, University of Texas Rio Grande Valley, USA mentioned as: over all the Curriculum is Good, need to reduce the credits for the courses. Try to give more priority to Dissertation. Give more load in 1.8. II Semesters, so that student can focus more on dissertation and publication in III & IV Semester.As per the New education policy worldwide for any two years program is 70-80 credits only.	It is proposed to maintain the total credits as 80 and make VAC as MOOCS course, so that the students gets more time for dissertation. Recommended the same to BOS.	11	28-03-2024	8	01-04-2024

Any oth	y other Recommendations / Suggestion(s) given by Academic Peers - Analysis and Action Taken by HOD							
SI.No.	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date	
1								

500

.

A WEAR LAS

Dr. A. Vonkateswara Rao Head of the Department Department of Chemistry Intru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University) The Fields, Vaddeswaram-522 502, Dist. A.P., India.

	Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (K L Deemed to be University)										
	Alumni Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Report Academic Year: 2023-2024 Semester - ODD/EVEN										
DADT	A										
PARI -	A										
			I		I						
Q.No.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					
1	6	2	1	1	0	10					
2	6	1	2	1	0	10					
3	6	2	1	1	0	10					
4	5	3	1	1	0	10					
5	6	2	1	1	0	10					
6	6	0	2	2	0	10					
7	5	1	2	2	0	10					
8	6	1	1	2	0	10					
9	5	2	1	2	0	10					
1 10	6	0	3	1	0	10					

Feedback Average Out of 5 Scale 4.14

1.4

10

PART - B

Questions and Options

		Each Question	on Feedback Average	out of 5 Scale				
Q.NO.1	How do you rate rel	evance of the courses in rela	tion to the program?			4.2		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5		
Q.NO.2	How do you rate the	How do you rate the sequence of the courses included into the programs?						
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.2		
Q.NO.3	How do you rate the	e competencies in relation to	the course content?			4.2		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5		
Q.NO.4	How do rate the sec	quence of the topics in the un	its?			4.2		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.2		
Q.NO.5	Rate the offering of	the in relation to the speciali	zation streams?			4.2		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.5		
Q.NO.6	How do you rate the	e offering of the electives in r	elation to the Technological	advancements?		4		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	-		
Q.NO.7	How do you rate the	e courses which are skills rela	ted suiting to the Industry in	cluded into the program	15?	2.0		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	5.9		
Q.NO.8	How do you rate the	e domain used for designing t	he experiments in terms of t	he suitability of the Too	ls to the domain?	4.1		
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.1		
Q.No.9	How do you rate the	e experiments in terms of the	ir relevance to the real life a	pplication?	L			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4		
Q.NO.10	How do you rate the	e courses that you have leant	in relation to your current Jo	b				
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.1		

PART - C

Question Wise Analysis

	Q.NO.1		How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?						
OPTIONS	OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Alumini		
	OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini		









Q.NO.2		How do you rate the sequence of the courses included into the programs?						
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumaini		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini		
PECIUT	6	1	2	1	0	10		
RESULT	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		









Q.NO.3	How do you rate the competencies in relation to the course content?					
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumini
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini
RESULT	6	2	1	1	0	10
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total







Q.NO.4		How do rate the sequence of the topics in the units?					
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini	
RESULT	5	3	1	1	0	10	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	















Q.NO.7		How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the Industry included into the programs?					
OPTIONS	A	В	С	D	E	Alumini	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor		
RESULT	5	1	2	2	0	10	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	













Q.NO.8	H	How do you rate the domain used for designing the experiments in terms of the suitability of the Tools to the domain?						
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E			
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini		
RESULT	6	1	1	2	0	10		
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		







Q.No.9		How do you rate the experiments in terms of their relevance to the real life application?									
OPTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Alumini					
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Alumini					
RESULT	5	2	1	2	0	10					
	Cusallant	Very Cand	Cand	Co.in	Deer	Tatal					

A: Options Wise Alumni Count

B: Options Wise Alumni Count %







Q.NO.10	How do you rate the courses that you have leant in relation to your current Job							
OPTIONS	A	В	C	D	E	Alumini		
01 110115	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Aldinini		
RESULT	6	0	3	1	0	10		
RESOLI	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total		





PART - D

Alumni Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Overall Analysis













PART - E

Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most P	Nost Positive Response Feedback Questions								
SI.No.	Q.No.	Question							
P_1	1	How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?							
P_2	5	Rate the offering of the in relation to the specialization streams?							

Most Negative Response Feedback Questions						
SI.No.	Q.No.	Question				
N_1	7	How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the Industry included into the programs?				

Action	Action Taken on Negative Response Feedback Questions										
SI.No.	Q.No.	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date				
N_1	7	Priyanka Polamuri mentioned as: Need to improve lab equipments and experiments to relate in industrial knowledge and skills .	It is proposed to revise the lab curriculum with the latest industrial needs and also include industrial workshops.	11	28-03-2024	8	01-04-2024				

Any oth	Any other Recommendations / Suggestion(s) given by Alunmi - Analysis and Action Taken by HOD										
SI.No.	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs	Date				
1											

When and

Jr. A. Venkateswara Rao Head of the Department Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University) Green Fields, Vaddeswaram-522 July Our Dist., A.P., India.

Department of Chemistry
Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation
(K L Deemed to be University)

Industry Personnel Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Report

Academic Year: 2023-2024

Semester - ODD/EVEN

PART - A

Q.No.	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total				
1	5	5	0	0	0	10				
2	5	3	2	0	0	10				
3	5	3	2	0	0	10				
4	6	4	0	0	0	10				
5	5	3	0	2	0	10				
6	5	3	2	0	0	10				
7	6	2	2	0	0	10				
8	5	3	0	2	0	10				
9	5	3	2	0	0	10				
10	5	3	2	0	0	10				
AVERAGE	5.2	3.2	1.2	0.4	0	10				
					r					
		Feedba	4.32							

PART - B

Questions and Options

Each Question Feedback Average out of 5 Scale									
Q.NO.1	IO.1 How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor				
Q.NO.2	Q.NO.2 How do you rate the sufficiency of the courses related to industry that are included in the program?								
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor				
Q.NO.3	How do you rate the	e competencies in relation to t	he course content?			43			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor				
Q.NO.4	How do you rate the	e sequence of the units in the	syllabus?			4.6			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.0			
Q.NO.5	How do you rate the	e relevance of the topics to the	Industry?			4.1			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor				
Q.NO.6	Rate the offering of	f the in relation to the specializ	ation streams?			4.2			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.3			
Q.NO.7	How do you rate the	e offering of the electives in re	lation to the Technological	advancements?					
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	1 ***			
Q.NO.8	How do you rate the	e courses which are skills relat	ted suiting to the Industry i	ncluded into the progra	ims?	4.1			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	1 4.1			
Q.No.9	How do you rate the in the Industry?	e applicability of the domains a	and the tools used for design	ning the experiments in	terms of existing practices	4.3			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	1			
Q.NO.10	How do you rate the	e experiments in terms of their	relevance to the real life a	pplication?		4.2			
OPTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	4.3			

PART - C

Question Wise Analysis

Q.NO.1		How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?									
OPTIONS	A	В	c	D	E	Industry					
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Personnels					
RESULT	5	5	0	0	0	10					
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total					

A: 0	Options W	ise Indu	stry Pers	onnel Co	ount	B: Options Wise Industry Personnel
		Se Se	ries1		10	Good Fair Peor 0% 0% 0%
5	5	0	0	0		Very Good SON Excellent SON
Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	



Series1

AVERAGE= Good-3

0

BAD= [Fair-2]+[Poor-1]

Q.NO.2 How do you rate the sufficiency of the courses related to industry that are included in the program?							
	OBTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Industry
OPTIONS	OFTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Personnel
RESULT	RESULT	5	3	2	0	0	10
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	





















Q.NO.6	Rate the offering of the in relation to the specialization streams?						
OPTIONS	A	В	C	D	E	Industry	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Personnels	
RESULT	5	3	2	0	0	10	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	

A: Options Wise Industry Personnel Count Series1 10



C: Options Wise Industry Personnel Count % (With Respect to Option Marks)





Q.NO.7	How do you rate the offering of the electives in relation to the Technological advancements?						
OBTIONS	A	В	с	D	E	Industry	
OFTIONS	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Personnels	
RESULT	6	2	2	0	0	10	
	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair	Poor	Total	



























PART - D

Industry Personnel Feedback on Curriculum Analysis Overall Analysis











PART - E

Most Positive and Negative Response Feedback Questions - Analysis and Action Taken

Most Positive Response Feedback Questions									
Sl.No.	Q.No.	Question							
P_1	1	How do you rate relevance of the courses in relation to the program?							
P_2	4	How do you rate the sequence of the units in the syllabus?							
Most N	Most Negative Response Feedback Questions								
Sl.No.	Q.No.	Question							
N_1	5	How do you rate the relevance of the topics to the Industry?							
N_2	8	How do you rate the courses which are skills related suiting to the Industry included into the programs?							
Action Taken on Negative Response Feedback Questions									
Sl.No.	Q.No.	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. and Proofs	Date	BOS No. Proofs			
N_1	5	Dr. G. Brahma Reddy, Scientist, Pfizer, Chennai mentioned as: The curriculum was designed very	It is proposed that the course "Intellectual properties and Regulatory affairs" shall be offered	11	28-03-2024 8		01-04-2024		
N_2	8	nicely. I feel it would be more sound if you include the importance of Intellectual properties and Regulatory affairs topics in the syllabus.	as value- added course to M. Sc Chemistry 2024 admitted batch students.	11	28-03-2024	8	01-04-2024		

Any ot	Any other Recommendations / Suggestion(s) given by Industry Personnel - Analysis and Action Taken by HOD								
		Analysia	A - N (T) - 1			BOS			
SI.No. I	Recommendations / Suggestion(s)	Analysis	Action Taken	DAC No. Proofs	Date	No.	Date		
						Proofs			
1									

2

Dr. A. Venkateswara Rac Head of the Department Department of Chemistry Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation (Deemed to be University) Green Fields, Vaddeswaram-822 500. Guntur Dist., A.P., India.